

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL A. LUJAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN HIXON, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:24-cv-00187-SAB (PC)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

(ECF No. 14)

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On March 14, 2024, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims, and granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's March 14, 2024, screening order and the time to do so has passed.¹ Accordingly, Plaintiff shall be ordered to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief, failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with a court order.

///

¹ The mail was returned by the U.S. Postal Office with a notation, "Undeliverable, Inactive, Not Deliverable as Addressed" on March 25, 2024.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Within **fourteen (14)** days from the date of service of this order Plaintiff shall show cause why the action should not be dismissed; and
2. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation to dismiss this action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief, for failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 23, 2024**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "James A. Be...", is written over a light blue rectangular background.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE